

# Prospect evaluation, resource assessment and risking

### Prospect evaluation

Knut Henrik Jakobsson Norwegian Petroleum Directorate

Inger Fjærtoft

## Purposes of prospect evaluation by the government

- Basis for recommendation for which blocks should be awarded and proposals for work commitment for licenses
- Basis for evaluation of applications for licenses or bidding rounds
- A state participation can be decided on basis of the evaluation carried out by the government in addition to the applicants/bidders





## The Norwegian licensing round



•



# **Volumetric calculation**



What is the recoverable hydrocarbon quantities of this accumulation ?



#### $R_{vol} \times N/G \times por \times S_{hc} \times F.v.factor \times Rec.f.$



**Recoverable resources** 

In-place resources (HCPV at surface conditions)

HCPV (hydrocarbon porevolume)

## HCPV (hydrocarbon pore volume)

#### **Rock**<sub>vol</sub> x Net/Gross x porosity x Saturation<sub>hc</sub>



## The rock volume



#### Interpretation and mapping

- Seismic interpretation
- Digitizing, map construction
- Depth conversion

#### **Geometric description**

- Vertical closure
- Spillpoint relations
- Trap fill
- Uncertainties in interpretation, mapping and depth conversion



#### ROCK VOLUME OF THE TRAP =

#### The volume between the top surface and the HC-contact minus

the volume between the bottom surface and the HC-contact

### The maps





A bottom surface map is not required, when:

- the reservoir thickness > vertical closure
- the reservoir thickness is constant

#### Gross rock volume, some North Sea fields



## HCPV (hydrocarbon pore volume)

**Rock**<sub>vol</sub> x Net/Gross x porosity x Saturation<sub>hc</sub>

trap definition trap reservoir parameters

## **Reservoir description**





Simplified litostratigraphy

GR Sonic

## **Reservoir parametres**



- Reservoir thickness (constant or variable)
- Net/gross ratio (average)
- porosity (average; > cut-off value)
- HC-saturation (average)



## **Gross thickness**



Should be taken care of in the mapping procedure...



## Net pay



#### NET PAY =

The total thickness of all reservoir units (a-h) with

porosity > threshold value and permeability > threshold value



## Porosity





- Calculated from electric well logs
- Core measurements

# Average porosity larger than cut-off value

## **Poroperm plot**





Measured porosities and permeabilities are plotted in a XYdiagram...

...in order to establish the cut-off value of efficient porosity

permeability (md)

### **HC-saturation**





Hydrocarbon saturation is the pore volume fraction which contains hydrocarbons

$$S_{HC} = 1 - S_{water}$$

- Hydrocarbon saturation (S<sub>HC</sub>) is estimated from log analysis
- Only zones with efficient porosity are included

## Spread in input data



	<u>min.</u>	expected	max.
rock volume	X	X	X
net/gross ratio	X	X	X
porosity	X	X	X
hydrocarbon saturation	X	X	X

#### = Hydrocarbon pore volume (HCPV)



- When we move hydrocarbons (HCPV) from the reservoir to the surface, physical conditions as pressure and temperature are changed...
  - the oil volume is shrinking, and
  - the gas volume is expanding

## Oil to the surface...



NPD •

### **In-place resources**



#### In-place resources = HCPV x Formation volume factor

- "GOIP" Gas Originally in-place
- "STOOIP" -

Stock tank Oil originally in-place

### **Recoverable resources**



**Recoverable resources =** 

#### **In-place resources x recovery factor**

Depending on drive mechanisms and production strategy, the recovery factor in general varies:

- between 50 and 80 % for gas
- between 25 and 70 % for oil

## Recovery factors for some Norwegian oil fields



Middle Jurassic sandstones

Cretaceous chalk (carbonates)



# Recoverable and in-place resources





# HCPV - prognosis vs result



- There is clearly a tendency to overestimate HCPV
- The same conclusion can be made for BRV, HCCOL, and reservoir thickness
- The wider result distribution as compared to prognosis distribution indicates that industry estimates a too narrow range of most likely outcomes



## Conclusions,

## - volume assessments



- As explorers, we find less than we predict.
- Explorationists put too narrow ranges on possible outcomes for field sizes and volumetric parameters.
- The above statements are generally valid for any play and trap type, phase, pre-drill probability of discovery and distance to nearest well, however there is a tendency to do better in regions of longer exploration history.
- Bulk Rock Volume (and behind that hydrocarbon column) is clearly the parameter explaining most of the differences between pre- and post-drill hydrocarbon pore volume.

## Recommendations, - volume assessments



- We need to improve our volume and parameter estimations!
- Expand ranges of possible outcomes for the volumetric parameters. Our prediction capabilities are poor.
  - Uncertainties related to seismic interpretation, depth conversion, and petrophysical parameter prediction are larger than what is generally perceived
- Spend the time evaluating a prospect according to the importance of the parameters.
  - Bulk Rock Volume should get the highest attention

# Risking resources - geological risk analysis

#### Inger Fjærtoft Norwegian Petroleum Directorate

Petrad course: Policy and management of petroleum sector development,

Stavanger September 2006

## Risk analysis

What is the chance of finding the minimum amount of recoverable hydrocarbons as estimated in the prospect assessment ?

## **Some Definitions**

"There is a *RISK* that I am going to fall off this cliff and I am *UNCERTAIN* how far it is to the bottom!"



#### **Risk - Probability**



#### **Probability = 1 - Risk**





# Success rate = $\frac{no. of hits}{no. of trials}$ = 8/14 = 0.57

#### **Probability categories**

#### **Stochastic probabilities**

- measured values
- success rates, etc

#### **Objective probabilities**

- logical arguments,
- analogue events, etc

#### Subjective probabilities

- beliefs,
- "guts feeling", etc

#### The independent risk factors - NPD's risk factors

**Probability of discovery:** 

*P* = *P*1 *x P*2 *x P*3 *x P*4

...where:

- P1 probability of efficient reservoir
- P2 probability of efficient trap
- P3 probability of efficient source & migration
- P4 probability of efficient retention after accumulation

#### **Probability of discovery**

#### The estimated prospect probability is not the probability of making a discovery, but:



The probability of finding at least the minimum quantity of hydrocarbons we estimated in the resource assessment.

# Reconstruction of the hydrocarbon accumulation process



## Sum up - Main principles Independent risk factors for:

The probability of finding at least the minimum quantity of hydrocarbons we estimated in the resource assessment.

## **Probability of discovery**



- High risk prospects are risked too low
- Low risk prospects are risked too high



PROBABILITY OF DISCOVERY

#### Prospect prognosis and drilling results:

Analysis of discoveries from 1990-2002

50% In place (Mill. b o.e.) 45,000 40,000 ■ Prognosis prior to drilling ■ Status 2002 35,000 30,000 25,000 50% 20,000 15,000 35% 85% 10,000 5,000 0 **Total** Oil Gas

NPD